

Friday, 6th May 2022

Mr John McKenzie
Legal Services Commissioner
Office of the Legal Services Commissioner
Level 9, 75 Castlereagh Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr McKenzie

Re: Office of the Legal Services Commissioner - corrupt conduct

You have offered no comment or response to any of my recent letters regarding your ongoing protection of solicitors carrying out acts of wrongdoing. Sadly, there is no question as to the wrongdoing concerned. Justifiably, we need to delve a little more deeply into the goings on of your office.

If we look at the OLSC's annual report of 2020/2021, the OLSC received a total of 2714 complaints in those 12 months. Out of these 2714 complaints, disciplinary action was taken by your office against seven of the persons complained about, or in percentage terms, this equates to a disciplinary rate of 0.26% of complaints received.

Now, of course, it is a possibility that complainants to your office are completely unrealistic in their expectations and are needlessly making complaints just for the sake of wasting their own time as well as yours. This, of course, is utterly implausible.

However, if we proceed to the Google search engine and seek out reviews of your office, the overwhelming consensus is that the OLSC is protecting solicitors, with some commentators even using the terms of a 'corrupt and broken system' in place.

Unfortunately, we know as fact that you are deliberately covering up the wrongdoing of solicitors, and yet there is no recourse to the general public in respect to the decisions you are taking. As you well know, there is no appeals process in relation to any decision as made by you. One can seek an internal review, yet any review as sought by the said member of the public is instantaneously rejected and dismissed by no other person than yourself (as in fact mentioned on page nine of the aforesaid annual report).

In the extremely unlikely event that a solicitor receives a reprimand, he or she can appeal that decision via the NSW Civil and Administrative Tribunal. However, there is no mechanism for a complainant to do so other than to make an application to the Supreme

Court of NSW. People understand quite well that this is not a viable option, and in truth such could prove disastrous in both financial terms and in terms of mental wellbeing.

We need to come to my own protracted history with you. You are very well aware that you have very deliberately covered up the criminal wrongdoings of Terence Goldberg of Turner Freeman Lawyers.

You are fully cognisant of Terence Goldberg's fraud upon the Court and Mr Goldberg's contempt in the face of the Court, and yet you lie repeatedly and readily to protect him. Very clearly, you feel the authority of the Court does not apply to you or to other various members of the legal profession.

As you know, Mr Goldberg acted for four individuals in Supreme Court proceedings (2009/00291458), yet in an application for costs as subsequently filed with the Court, Mr Goldberg dishonestly claims to have acted for five parties in those proceedings, and evidently did so to make improper financial gain. The fifth party for whom Mr Goldberg claimed to have acted in such proceedings was in fact unrepresented and was also an opposing party to Mr Goldberg's actual clients, such being the four plaintiffs in the matter.

You are also aware of Mr Goldberg's continued criminality with Mr Goldberg making a large number of fraudulent statements in various documents in order to take all monies as owned by the party for whom he did not act, being the sixth defendant in the above said proceedings, and clandestinely creating two entities to receive those monies.

It is a statement of simple fact that Mr Goldberg could not have carried out his misdoings without assistance having been provided to him; assistance which you have offered quite liberally. That statement is made neither lightly nor flippantly.

The system as it stands is open to abuse, and as we can see is being abused quite openly. As previously stated to you, we need to understand why you are behaving the way you are, and also we need to understand if you are benefiting financially or indeed in any other way for doing so. There must be a reason for your extraordinary conduct.

Lest there be any doubt in relation to the above claims as made in relation to Mr Goldberg, I once again **attach** affidavit as sworn by myself on 12th March 2022 which outlines Mr Goldberg's criminal activities, and which you first received some six weeks ago. Quite predictably, no acknowledgement has been received from you or your office.

Given your blatant and open disregard for the rule of law and equally for the authority of the Honourable Court, I copy this letter to the Court for its due consideration.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Symn Waters". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Symn Waters