

Tuesday, 18<sup>th</sup> June 2019

Mr John McKenzie  
Legal Services Commissioner  
Office of the Legal Services Commissioner  
Level 9, 75 Castlereagh Street  
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr McKenzie

***Re: Corruption***

I refer to the above.

I am still to hear from you in relation to matters of the fraudulent and criminal conduct of Terence Goldberg of Turner Freeman Lawyers. Although, given your past conduct, I imagine I will be waiting a very long time.

You have proved yourself to be a very faithful foot soldier to Mr Goldberg in your endeavours to cover up Mr Goldberg's transgressions; of which there have been many. Let us take a brief look at the history between Mr Goldberg and yourself, and the said covering up thereof.

Firstly, you have repeatedly turned a blind eye to Mr Goldberg having provided false statements to the Supreme Court of NSW in an Application for Assessment of Solicitor/Client Costs in order to create a false and fraudulent debt where in fact no debt was owed. As you know, those actions caused the winding up of an incorporated association, and with Mr Goldberg and his friends thereafter improperly taking for themselves the rest of the substantial funds belonging to that association.

As you also well know, the resulting funds as belonging to that association made their way into a Trust as set up by Terence Goldberg, with those and other funds of an unknown origin seemingly arriving in such, and with close to \$500,000.00 apparently and subsequently disappearing from the said Trust.

However, and unfortunately, it does not end there. In July 2017, complaints were made to your office about a Court stamp seemingly having been forged on documents, with those documents not actually having been filed with the Court. You summarily dismissed those complaints, claiming that it was a Court matter and not a matter for your office to deal with.

That of course, was a lie on your part. Terence Goldberg just happened to be the plaintiff in those proceedings (2015/00259781).

In the same Court proceedings relating to the above, Terence Goldberg made a number of statements on oath in the witness box which were provably false, and again, a complaint was thus made to your office. Again, you personally summarily dismissed that complaint, and again, lying while doing so.

In April 2018, Terence Goldberg, in completely separate Supreme Court proceedings (2015/00354540), while again being the plaintiff, made more false statements in Court, and again in the witness box, claiming that that the sum of \$30,000.00 as belonging at that time to Ms Roseanne Beckett was in his firm's trust account. As you well know, those monies were not in Mr Goldberg's firm's trust account.

Again, a complaint was made to your office in relation to the disappearance of Ms Beckett's money. Roseanne Beckett tells me that when your office wrote to Terence Goldberg in relation to her complaint, Mr Goldberg wrote back to the OLSC, refusing to provide the information requested, while at the same time claiming that I, while masquerading as Ms Beckett, had made the said complaint. I believe this was in fact a signal to you to dismiss such. You duly and summarily did so, and again, lied to Ms Beckett in so doing.

In relation to the same Court proceedings in which Terence Goldberg was the plaintiff and Roseanne Beckett the defendant, a then colleague of Mr Goldberg's wrote a letter to the solicitor acting for Ms Beckett making wild and untrue allegations about me, claiming that I was a '*dangerous individual with a documented history of violence*' and also alleging that I and Ms Beckett had both conspired to and had partaken in criminal activities together.

A complaint was made to your office by both myself and Ms Beckett in relation to same, and again, and of course, both complaints were summarily dismissed, with neither myself nor Ms Beckett receiving any proper reason or explanation for the said dismissals.

So you see, the list is long, is it not? However, what can be plainly seen is that there is one common factor: The same solicitor is involved each and every time.

It is also just as plain to be seen that you are acting corruptly and dishonestly in order to provide advantage and benefit to one particular individual. There must be an explanation as to why you are doing so.

How can I, or any other member of the public for that matter, receive fair treatment by a parliamentary appointed commissioner, when that commissioner's actions are not only perfidious and untrustworthy, but also ostensibly criminal in nature.

Yours faithfully



Symn Waters